


Type of Strategy: ___Supply Reduction _X_Demand Reduction ___Harm Reduction **(mark which applies)**

Community Name: **Impact Prevention**


Part 1. Logic Chain



(1) Problem of Practice (PoP) (2) Population of Focus (Who?)*	(1) Underage drinking (aged 12-17) in the past 30 days (2) Youth ages 12-17
Intervening Variable (Causal Factor)	Lack of Family Communication around the dangers of underage drinking
Local Condition (Root Cause)	Parents are not talking to youth about the risk of underage drinking
Desired Impact on Root Cause	Parents will be more comfortable talking to their youth about the risk/dangers of underage drinking
Desired Impact on Causal Factor	Increased number of youth reporting that a parent/adult talked to them in the past year regarding alcohol risks.
Desired Impact on PoP	Reduction in past 30 day consumption

*Your population of focus must: (1) be specific, including priority population and (2) address OUD deaths.

Part 2. Data Chain



Data for PoP**	1. 10.1% of 8 th grade students reported past 30 day alcohol consumption compared to 28.2% of 12 th grade students. This represents a 179.2 percent difference in the consumption habits of 8 th and 12 th grade students. OHYES! Data Assessment Spring 2021
Data for Intervening Variable (Causal Factor)	25.6 Percentage of 8th grade students reported discussing the dangers of substance use with a parent during the past year in comparison to 4.3% of 12th grade students. Creating a 21.3% decrease in communication between the grades. OHYES! Data Assessment Spring 2021
Data for Local Condition (Root Cause)	In 2018, according to parent and youth focus groups completed in Lawrence County, parents indicated that having conversations with their youth regarding the risks of alcohol was awkward. Youth indicated that their parents do not talk to them about the risks of alcohol.

**Your data must include a data point, data source, and year collected. Don't worry about the impact/outcomes side of the chain yet!